

Alternation Properties of Tchebyshev-Systems and the Existence of Adjoined Functions

ROLAND ZIELKE

Lehrstuhl für Biomathematik der Universität Tübingen, 74 Tübingen, West Germany

Communicated by G. Meinardus

A finite-dimensional linear space of functions is called a T -space (Tchebyshev-space) iff it has a basis satisfying Haar's condition. A function f is called adjoined to an n -dimensional T -space U_n iff $\text{span } U_n \cup \{f\}$ is an $(n + 1)$ -dimensional T -space.

Rutman mentioned (see Krein [2]) that there are T -spaces for which no adjoined functions exist. Apparently, no such example has been published.

Laasonen [3] showed that if U_n consists of n times continuously differentiable functions defined on an interval, then there is a function adjoined to U_n . Later Karlin and Studden [1] proved the same and then applied a rather complicated limiting process to infer the same conclusion when U_n consists of continuous functions defined on an interval.

Rutman [4] stated that if U_n consists of functions continuous from the right and defined on an open interval, there is a function adjoined to U_n . Unfortunately, he gave only an outline of his proof.

Throughout this paper we shall consider only T -spaces of functions defined on totally ordered sets. We need the following definitions.

DEFINITION. A totally ordered set M has property (D) if it contains no smallest or greatest element and for every two distinct elements of M there is an element between them.

DEFINITION. Let U_n be an n -dimensional T -space (of functions defined) on a totally ordered set M . U_n is called oriented iff for every $f \in U_n$ there are at most n points $t_1, \dots, t_n \in M$ with $t_1 < \dots < t_n$ and $\text{sign } f(t_i) = -\text{sign } f(t_{i+1}) \neq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$.

In a previous paper [5] we proved that if M is a totally ordered set and has property (D) , and U_n is an n -dimensional oriented T -space on M , $n \geq 2$, U_n contains an $(n - 1)$ -dimensional oriented T -space. Our main result is

that under the same assumptions there is a function adjoined to U_n such that $\text{span } U_n \cup \{f\}$ is oriented, too.

The proof consists of two parts. In Section 2 we define an operation "relative differentiation" that transforms U_n into an $(n - 1)$ -dimensional T -space. In the second part of the proof we show that if there is a function adjoined to this space, the same is true for U_n .

Considerable simplification has been obtained in many arguments by replacing determinant inequalities by alternation properties. In addition, no limit process (as in [1, p. 241-246]) is needed.

Some of the results, such as Theorems 1 and 2, seem to be of independent interest.

1. PRELIMINARIES, CHAINS OF T -SPACES

We first recall a few definitions and propositions from [5].

DEFINITION. Let M be a totally ordered set, f a real-valued function defined on M , and $t_1, \dots, t_k \in M$ with $t_1 < \dots < t_k$.

(a) t_1, \dots, t_k form a strong alternation of f of length k iff $\text{sign } f(t_i) = -\text{sign } f(t_{i+1}) \neq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, k - 1$.

(b) t_1, \dots, t_k form a weak alternation of f of length k iff $\text{sign } f(t_i) = -\text{sign } f(t_{i+1})$ for $i = 1, \dots, k - 1$.

(c) t_1, \dots, t_n form a quasioalternation of f of length k iff $\text{sign}(f(t_i) - f(t_{i+1})) = -\text{sign}(f(t_{i+1}) - f(t_{i+2}))$ for $i = 1, \dots, k - 2$.

LEMMA 1. Let M be a totally ordered set, and U_n an n -dimensional linear space of functions defined on M . Then the following statements are equivalent:

(a) U_n is an oriented T -space.

(b) U_n is a T -space, and no $f \in U_n \setminus \{0\}$ has a weak alternation of length exceeding n .

(c) If f_1, \dots, f_n is a basis of M , $\det(f_i(t_j))_{n,n}$ has constant sign for all $t_1, \dots, t_n \in M$ with $t_1 < \dots < t_n$.

LEMMA 2. Let M be a totally ordered set, and U_n an n -dimensional oriented T -space on M , $n \geq 1$. Assume $f \in U_n \setminus \{0\}$ with zeros $t_1 < \dots < t_{n-1}$. Then all point sets $s_1, \dots, s_n \in M$ with $s_1 < t_1 < s_2 < t_2 < \dots < t_{n-1} < s_n$ form strong alternations of f .

For the following it will be convenient to define chains of T -spaces.

DEFINITION. The T -spaces U_i , $\dim U_i = i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, form a chain, if $U_1 \subset \dots \subset U_n$.

If, moreover, U_1 consists of the constant functions, the chain is called normed.

In the following we shall use a stronger version of the concept of adjoined functions.

DEFINITION. Let U_n be an n -dimensional oriented T -space on a totally ordered set M . f is called strongly adjoined to U_n iff $\text{span } U_n \cup \{f\}$ is an $(n + 1)$ -dimensional oriented T -space on M .

If U_n is an n -dimensional oriented T -space on a set M which has property (D), we may by Corollary 2 in [5] assume that there is a chain $U_1 \subset \dots \subset U_n$ of oriented i -dimensional T -spaces U_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$.

For the proof of existence of a strongly adjoined function under the above hypotheses, we may further assume that the chain $U_1 \subset \dots \subset U_n$ is normed.

In the following we mean by hypothesis (A): M is totally ordered, $U_1 \subset \dots \subset U_n$ is a normed chain of oriented T -spaces on M with $n \geq 2$.

LEMMA 3. If hypothesis (A) is fulfilled, and $f \in U_n \setminus U_{n-1}$, every quasi-alternation of f has at most length n .

Proof. $n = 2$. Let $f \in U_2 \setminus U_1$ and $g(t) = 1$ for $t \in M$. Then f and g form a basis of U_2 , and for $t, u \in M$ with $t < u$

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ f(t) & f(u) \end{pmatrix} = f(u) - f(t)$$

has constant sign $\neq 0$ because U_2 is oriented. So f is strictly monotonous.

$n - 1 \Rightarrow n$. Suppose there is an $f \in U_n \setminus U_{n-1}$ and points $t_1 < \dots < t_{n+1}$ with

$$\begin{aligned} f(t_i) &\geq f(t_{i+1}), & \text{if } i \text{ is odd,} \\ f(t_i) &\leq f(t_{i+1}), & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

Let $g \in U_{n-1}$ be the function that interpolates f in t_2, \dots, t_n . By induction hypothesis we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(t) &\leq g(t_2) & \text{for } t < t_2, \\ g(t) &\leq g(t_n) & \text{for } t > t_n, & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ g(t) &\geq g(t_n) & \text{for } t > t_n, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, t_1, \dots, t_{n+1} is a weak alternation of $f - g$ of length $n + 1$, contradicting the hypothesis that U_n is oriented.

Remarks. The statement of Lemma 3 is false if U_n contains no T -space of dimension $n - 1$. In [5, Example 3], R is a three-dimensional oriented T -space containing no two-dimensional T -subspace. Indeed, for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$ the function $f_\epsilon \in R$ with $f_\epsilon(t) := t \sin(t - \epsilon)$ has quasiaalternations of length 4.

Lemma 3 says that for every $f \in U_n \setminus U_{n-1}$ there are at most $n - 2$ points $t_1, \dots, t_{n-2} \in M$ with $t_1 < \dots < t_{n-2}$ such that for the sets $A_1 := \{x \in M \mid x < t_1\}$, $A_k := \{x \in M \mid t_{k-1} < x < t_k\}$, $k = 2, \dots, n - 2$, $A_{n-1} := \{x \in M \mid t_{n-2} < x\}$ either f or $-f$ is strictly increasing on A_1, A_3, A_5, \dots and strictly decreasing on A_2, A_4, A_6, \dots .

DEFINITION. If M is totally ordered, we define $I(a, b) := \{x \in M \mid a \leq x \leq b\}$ for all $a, b \in M$ with $a < b$.

LEMMA 4. *If hypothesis (A) is fulfilled, and $f \in U_n$, then f is bounded on every set $I(a, b)$.*

Proof. $n = 2$. As every $f \in U_2$ is strictly monotonous on M , on $I(a, b)$ the function f is bounded by $f(a)$ and $f(b)$.

$n - 1 \Rightarrow n$. Suppose there is $f \in U_n \setminus U_{n-1}$ and $a, b \in M$ with $a < b$ such that f is not bounded on $I(a, b)$. Because of Lemma 3 there are $c, d \in I(a, b)$ with $c < d$ such that f is strictly monotonous and unbounded on $I(c, d) \setminus \{d\}$. Without loss of generality let f be monotonously increasing and unbounded from above on $I(c, d)$. Obviously, $I(c, d)$ contains infinitely many points.

Let $t_1, \dots, t_{n-1} \in I(c, d)$ with $t_1 < \dots < t_{n-1} < d$. Without loss of generality assume $f(t_{n-1}) = 0$. Define $g \in U_{n-1}$ by

$$g(t_i) = (-1)^{n-1-i} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n - 2, \quad g(t_{n-1}) = 0. \tag{1}$$

For $t > t_{n-1}$ we have $g(t) > 0$, because otherwise g had a weak alternation of length n . By induction hypothesis g is bounded on $I(a, b)$, say $|g(t)| < K$ for $t \in I(a, b)$.

Let $t_{n+1} = b$. Then there is an $\alpha > 0$ such that t_1, \dots, t_{n-1} is a weak alternation of $g - \alpha f$ and

$$(g - \alpha f)(t_{n-2}) < 0, \quad (g - \alpha f)(t_{n-1}) = 0, \quad (g - \alpha f)(t_{n+1}) > 0. \tag{2}$$

As f is unbounded from above on $I(t_{n-1}, t_{n+1})$, there is a $t_n \in M$ with $t_{n-1} < t_n < t_{n+1}$ and $(g - \alpha f)(t_n) < 0$. Thus, t_1, \dots, t_{n+1} is a weak alterna-

tion of $g - \alpha f$ of length $n + 1$, contradicting the hypothesis that U_n is oriented.

As an application we get the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. *Let $M = (a, b)$ be an open interval and $U_1 \subset \dots \subset U_n \subset C(M)$ a normed chain of T -spaces. If all $f \in U_n$ are bounded, they may be continuously extended to functions \bar{f} defined on \bar{M} , and the spaces $\bar{U}_i := \{\bar{f} \in C(\bar{M}) \mid \bar{f}|_M = f \text{ for an } f \in U_i\}$ form a normed chain of T -spaces on \bar{M} .*

Proof. Suppose there is an $f \in U_i \setminus \{0\}$ such that its extension \bar{f} has i zeros $t_1 < \dots < t_i$. By Lemma 3 M can be split into at most $i - 1$ intervals in each of which f is strictly monotonous. The first interval lies left of t_2 , and the second contains points left of t_2 , too. Part of the third interval lies left of t_3 , and so on until finally part of the $(i - 1)$ st interval lies left of t_{i-1} . So f is strictly monotonous right of t_{i-1} and cannot approach zero again.

2. RELATIVE DERIVATIVES

DEFINITION. Let M be totally ordered, $a \in M$, and f a real-valued function defined on M . Then f has the right side limit α in a (written $\alpha = M - \lim_{x \rightarrow a+} f(x)$), iff for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a $y \in M$ with $a < y$ and $|f(x) - \alpha| < \epsilon$ for all $x \in M$ with $a < x \leq y$.

The limits $M - \lim_{x \rightarrow a+} \inf f(x)$ and $M - \lim_{x \rightarrow a+} \sup f(x)$ and the corresponding left side limits are defined analogously. If, for example, $a, b \in M$ are two points with $a < b$ such that there is no point between them, we have $M - \lim_{x \rightarrow a+} f(x) = f(b)$ and $M - \lim_{x \rightarrow b-} f(x) = f(a)$.

THEOREM 2. *Let hypothesis (A) be satisfied, and assume M contains no smallest or greatest element. Then we have for any fixed $f_2 \in U_2 \setminus U_1$:*

(a) *There is a linear operator $D_+ : U_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, defined by*

$$(D_+ f)(a) := M - \lim_{x \rightarrow a+} \frac{f(x) - f(a)}{f_2(x) - f_2(a)}.$$

(b) *The spaces $D_+ U_i := \{D_+ f \mid f \in U_i\}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, have dimension $i - 1$ and form a normed chain of oriented T -spaces on M .*

Proof. $n = 2$. $D_+ U_2$ is the space of constant functions on M .

$n - 1 \Rightarrow n$: (1) *Existence of $D_+ f$.* Let $a \in M$ fixed, and $f \in U_n$. If there is a $y \in M$ with $a < y$ such that no point of M lies between a and y , we have

$D_+f(a) = (f(y) - f(a))/(f_2(y) - f_2(a))$. Now we assume that for every $y \in M$ with $a < y$ there is an $x \in M$ with $a < x < y$. Without loss of generality we further assume that $f_2(a) = f(a) = 0$, f_2 is strictly increasing and there is a $y_1 \in M$ with $a < y_1$ such that for all $t \in M$ with $a < t < y_1$ we have $f(t) > 0$.

First suppose

$$M - \limsup_{t \rightarrow a^+} \frac{f(t)}{f_2(t)} = \infty.$$

Let $t_1, \dots, t_{n-1} \in M$ with $t_1 < \dots < t_{n-1} = a$, and let $g \in U_{n-1}$ be defined by (1). $g(t)$ is positive for $t > a$, because otherwise g would have a weak alternation of length n . As $f(t)/f_2(t) = (f(t)/g(t))/(g(t)/f_2(t))$ for $t > a$ and $D_+g(a) = M - \lim_{t \rightarrow a^+} (g(t)/f_2(t))$ exists by induction hypothesis, it follows that $M - \lim_{t \rightarrow a^+} \sup(f(t)/g(t)) = \infty$.

Let $t_{n+1} \in M$ with $t_{n+1} > a$. Then there is an $\alpha > 0$ such that t_1, \dots, t_{n-1} is a weak alternation of $g - \alpha f$ with (2). Because of $M - \lim_{t \rightarrow a^+} \sup(f(t)/g(t)) = \infty$ there is a $t_n \in M$ with $t_{n-1} < t_n < t_{n+1}$ and $(g - \alpha f)(t_n) < 0$. The points t_1, \dots, t_{n+1} form a weak alternation of $g - \alpha f$ of length $n + 1$ in contradiction to Lemma 1.

Now suppose we had

$$\beta := M - \liminf_{t \rightarrow a^+} (f(t)/f_2(t)) < \gamma := M - \limsup_{t \rightarrow a^+} (f(t)/f_2(t)).$$

If we let $\delta := (\beta + \gamma)/2$, then for all $y \in M$ with $a < y$ there are $u, v \in M$ with $a < u, v < y$ and $(f - \delta f_2)(u) < 0 < (f - \delta f_2)(v)$. As we may choose $y = u$ or $y = v$, there exist sequences u_1, u_3, \dots and v_2, v_4, \dots in M with $u_1 > v_2 > u_3 > v_4 > \dots > a$ and $(f - \delta f_2)(u_i) < 0 < (f - \delta f_2)(v_{i+1})$ for $i = 1, 3, 5, \dots$. This again contradicts Lemma 1.

(2) D_+U_n is an $(n - 1)$ -dimensional T -space. Suppose there is an $f \in U_n \setminus U_1$ such that D_+f has zeros $t_1 < \dots < t_{n-1}$. Let $g \in U_{n-1}$ be the function that interpolates f in t_1, \dots, t_{n-1} . Then we have $D_+(g - f)(t_i) = D_+g(t_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$, and $D_+g \in D_+U_{n-1}$. From Lemma 2 it follows that t_1, \dots, t_{n-1} is a weak alternation of $D_+(g - f)$ and so of D_+g , too. This contradicts the induction hypothesis that D_+U_{n-1} is an $(n - 2)$ -dimensional oriented T -space. Because of $U_1 = \text{kernel } D_+$ we have

$$\dim D_+U_n = \dim U_n - \dim \text{kernel } D_+ = n - 1.$$

(3) D_+U_n is oriented. Suppose there are $f \in U_n$ and points $t_1, \dots, t_n \in M$ with $t_1 < \dots < t_n$ and $(-1)^i D_+f(t_i) > 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then there are points $u_1, \dots, u_n \in M$ with $t_1 < u_1 \leq t_2 < \dots \leq t_n < u_n$ and $(-1)^i (f(u_i) - f(t_i))/(f_2(u_i) - f_2(t_i)) > 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. So we have $(-1)^i (f(u_i) - f(t_i)) > 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. This, however, contradicts Lemma 3.

Remark. Theorem 2 does not hold if the T -spaces are not oriented, as the following example shows. Let

$$\begin{aligned} M &= (-1, 1), f_1(t) = 1, \\ f_2(t) &= t, \\ f_3(t) &= \begin{cases} t^2 - 1 & \text{for } t \in (0, 1) \\ 1 - t^2 & \text{for } t \in (-1, 0], \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$U_i = \text{span}\{f_1, \dots, f_i\}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

$U_1 \subset U_2 \subset U_3$ is a normed chain of T -spaces, but U_3 is not oriented, and we have

$$M - \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f_3(x) - f_3(0)}{f_2(x) - f_2(0)} = \infty.$$

The version of Theorem 2 for left side limits is obtained by replacing “ D_+ ” by “ D_- ” and “ $M - \lim_{x \rightarrow a^+}$ ” by “ $M - \lim_{x \rightarrow a^-}$ ” in Theorem 2.

3. REAL DOMAINS, ADJOINED FUNCTIONS

With hypothesis (A) every $f_2 \in U_2 \setminus U_1$ is strictly monotonous on M by Lemma 3. We define $V_i := \{h: f_2(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid h = f \circ f_2^{-1} \text{ for an } f \in U_i\}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then $V_1 \subset \dots \subset V_n$ is a normed chain of oriented T -spaces on $f_2(M)$, and V_2 consists of the linear functions restricted to $f_2(M)$. The following statements are obvious.

- (1) If M has property (D), so does $f_2(M)$.
- (2) If M is a real interval and f_2 is continuous, $f_2(M)$ is an interval, and f_2 is a homeomorphism.
- (3) A function $g: f_2(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is strongly adjoined to V_n iff $g \circ f_2$ is strongly adjoined to U_n .

For the proof of existence of strongly adjoined functions under hypothesis (A), we may—because of the last statement—assume that the domain M is real and that U_2 consists of the linear functions restricted to M .

LEMMA 5. *Assume that hypothesis (A) is fulfilled, that M is real, that M contains no smallest or greatest element and that U_2 consists of the linear functions restricted to M . Denote by I the open interval ($\inf M, \sup M$). Then every $f \in U_n$ can be extended to a function \bar{f} continuous on I .*

Proof. $n = 2$. Every $f \in U_2$ can be extended to a linear function on I .

$n - 1 \Rightarrow n$. First we show that every $f \in U_n$ may be continuously extended to $I \cap \bar{M}$. Let $a \in I \cap (\bar{M} \setminus M)$ and $f \in U_n$ fixed. Because of Lemma 3, I can be split into $k \leq n - 1$ subintervals A_1, \dots, A_k such that f is strictly monotonous on each of the sets $M \cap A_i, i = 1, \dots, k$. As M contains no smallest or greatest element, there exist $b := \sup\{x \in M \mid x < a\}$ and $c := \inf\{x \in M \mid a < x\}$. Because of Lemmas 3 and 4 there exist the limits

$$f_-(b) := M - \lim_{x \rightarrow b^-} f(x) \quad \text{and} \quad f_+(c) := M - \lim_{x \rightarrow c^+} f(x).$$

For $b = a < c$ we define $\bar{f}(a) = f_-(b)$, for $b < a = c$ we define $\bar{f}(a) = f_+(c)$. For $b = a = c$ it remains to show: $f_-(a) = f_+(a)$.

Suppose we had $f_-(a) \neq f_+(a)$, say $0 = f_-(a) < f_+(a)$ without loss of generality. Let $t_1, \dots, t_{n-2} \in M$ with $t_1 < \dots < t_{n-2} < a$. For every $h \in U_{n-1} \setminus \{0\}$ with $h(t_1) = \dots = h(t_{n-2}) = 0$ we have $\bar{h}(a) \neq 0$ because of Lemma 3. Now let $h_0 \in U_{n-1}$ with $h_0(t_i) = (-1)^{n-1-i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n - 2$. For $g := \bar{h}(a) \cdot h_0 - \bar{h}_0(a) \cdot h$ we get $g(t_i) = (-1)^{n-1-i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n - 2$ and $\bar{g}(a) = 0$. Furthermore, Lemma 3 yields $g(t) > 0$ for all $t \in M$ with $a < t$. Let $t_{n+1} \in M$ with $a < t_{n+1}$. Then there is an $\alpha > 0$ such that t_1, \dots, t_{n-2} form a strong alternation of $g - \alpha f$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (g - \alpha f)(t_{n-2}) &< 0, \\ (\bar{g} - \alpha f)(a) &= 0, \\ (g - \alpha f)(t_{n+1}) &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

As we have $f_+(a) > \bar{g}(a) = 0$, there is a $t_n \in M$ with $a < t_n < t_{n+1}$ and $(g - \alpha f)(t_n) < 0$. Besides, there is a $t_{n-1} \in M$ with $t_{n-2} < t_{n-1} < a$ such that t_1, \dots, t_{n+1} is a quasiaalternation of $g - \alpha f$ of length $n + 1$, contradicting Lemma 3. For $t \in I \setminus \bar{M}$ we put

$$\bar{f}(t) = \bar{f}(t_-) + \frac{t - t_-}{t_+ - t_-} (\bar{f}(t_+) - \bar{f}(t_-)),$$

where $t_- = \max\{u \in \bar{M} \mid u < t\}$ and $t_+ = \min\{u \in \bar{M} \mid u > t\}$. Thereby we fill the "gaps" of \bar{M} with linear functions.

DEFINITION. Under the hypotheses of Lemma 5 we denote by \bar{U}_i the space of the functions \bar{f} with $f \in U_i$ as constructed in the proof of Lemma 5.

LEMMA 6. *If the hypotheses of Lemma 5 are fulfilled and A is a compact subinterval of I , for every fixed $\bar{f} \in \bar{U}_n$ the difference quotient $(\bar{f}(b) - \bar{f}(a))/(b - a)$, $a, b \in A, a \neq b$, is bounded.*

Proof. $n = 2$. The difference quotient is a constant.

$n - 1 \Rightarrow n$. Suppose there are sequences a_1, a_2, \dots and b_1, b_2, \dots in $A \cap M$ and an $f \in U_n$ with $a_k < b_k$ for all k and $|(f(b_k) - f(a_k))/(b_k - a_k)| \rightarrow \infty$ for $k \rightarrow \infty$. As A is compact we may without loss of generality assume that there are points $a, b \in A \cap \bar{M}$ with $a_k \rightarrow a$ and $b_k \rightarrow b$ for $k \rightarrow \infty$. As $|f(b_k) - f(a_k)|$ is bounded because of Lemma 4, $b_k - a_k$ goes to zero for $k \rightarrow \infty$, and so we have $a = b$.

Without loss of generality let $\bar{f}(a) = 0$ and $(f(b_k) - f(a_k))/(b_k - a_k) \rightarrow \infty$ for $k \rightarrow \infty$. Let $t_1, \dots, t_{n-1} \in M$ with $t_1 < \dots < t_{n-1} < a$, and define $g \in U_{n-1}$ by (1). g is strictly increasing on $M \cap (t_{n-1}, \infty)$ because of Lemma 3. Choose $t_{n+1} \in M$ with $a < t_{n+1}$. There is an $\alpha > 0$ such that t_1, \dots, t_{n-2} is a strong alternation of $g - \alpha f$ and $(g - \alpha f)(t_{n-2}) < (\bar{g} - \alpha f)(a) < (g - \alpha f)(t_{n+1})$. If k is sufficiently large, we get $t_{n-2} < a_k < b_k < t_{n+1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (g - \alpha f)(t_{n-2}) &< \min\{(g - \alpha f)(a_k), (g - \alpha f)(b_k)\} \\ &< \max\{(g - \alpha f)(a_k), (g - \alpha f)(b_k)\} < (g - \alpha f)(t_{n+1}), \end{aligned}$$

and from the induction hypothesis

$$\frac{(g - \alpha f)(b_k) - (g - \alpha f)(a_k)}{b_k - a_k} = \frac{g(b_k) - g(a_k)}{b_k - a_k} - \alpha \frac{f(b_k) - f(a_k)}{b_k - a_k} < 0.$$

Hence follows $(g - \alpha f)(a_k) > (g - \alpha f)(b_k)$, and $t_1, \dots, t_{n-2}, a_k, b_k, t_{n+1}$ form a quasialternation of $g - \alpha f$ of length $n + 1$, contradicting Lemma 3. Thus, the difference quotient $(f(y) - f(x))/(y - x)$ is bounded for $x, y \in A \cap M$, $x \neq y$, and so for $x, y \in A \cap \bar{M}$, $x \neq y$, too.

Now choose $x \in A \setminus \bar{M}$ and $y \in A$. Then x is an inner point of an interval $[1, r]$ with $1, r \in A \cap \bar{M}$, on which \bar{f} is a linear function. For $y \in [1, r]$ we have $(f(y) - f(x))/(y - x) = (f(r) - f(1))/(r - 1)$. For $y \notin [1, r]$, it is easy to see that

$$\left| \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x} \right| \leq \max \left\{ \left| \frac{f(y) - f(1)}{y - 1} \right|, \left| \frac{f(y) - f(r)}{y - r} \right|, \left| \frac{f(r) - f(1)}{r - 1} \right| \right\}.$$

For $y \in A \cap \bar{M}$ the right side is bounded, for $y \notin A \cap \bar{M}$ replace (x, y) by $(y, 1)$ or (y, r) and apply the argument again.

LEMMA 7. *Under the hypotheses of Lemma 5 and with the above notations every $\bar{f} \in \bar{U}_n$ has the following properties:*

- (a) \bar{f} is absolutely continuous on every compact subinterval of I .
- (b) \bar{f} is right side differentiable on I .

(c) *The right side derivative \bar{f}'_+ has no strong alternations of length greater $n - 1$.*

(d) *\bar{f}'_+ is bounded on every compact subinterval of I .*

(e) *\bar{f}'_+ is right side continuous on I .*

Proof. Let $\bar{f} \in \bar{U}_n$ fixed:

(a) By Lemma 6 \bar{f} is Lipschitz-bounded on every compact subinterval of I and thus absolutely continuous.

(b) From Lemma 6 it is clear that for every $a \in I$ we have

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow a^+} \frac{\bar{f}(x) - \bar{f}(a)}{x - a} < \infty.$$

Without loss of generality let $a = \bar{f}(a) = 0$.

Suppose $\beta := \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \inf(\bar{f}(x)/x) < \gamma := \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \sup(\bar{f}(x)/x)$. The construction of \bar{f} then yields $0 \in \bar{M}$ and

$$M - \liminf_{x \rightarrow 0^+} (f(x)/x) < M - \limsup_{x \rightarrow 0^+} (f(x)/x),$$

which is led to a contradiction in the same way as in part (1) of the proof of Theorem 2.

(c) Suppose \bar{f}'_+ has a strong alternation of length n . In the same way as in part (3) of the proof of Theorem 2 it is shown that then there is an $f \in U_n$ with a quasioalternation of length $n + 1$, contradicting Lemma 3.

(d) The statement is clear because \bar{f} is Lipschitz-bounded on every compact subinterval of I .

(e) Let $a \in I$. If a lies in an interval of $I \setminus \bar{M}$ or is the left endpoint of such an interval, the statement follows from the construction of \bar{f} . If a is the limit of a decreasing sequence of points in M , let $a = \bar{f}(a) = 0$ without loss of generality. Because of Lemma 7(c) and (d) there is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that \bar{f}'_+ is monotonous and bounded in $[0, \epsilon]$, say monotonously increasing. Then \bar{f} is convex in $[0, \epsilon]$, and for all $x, y \in (0, \epsilon)$ with $x < y < 2x$ we have

$$\frac{\bar{f}(y) - \bar{f}(x)}{y - x} \leq \frac{\bar{f}(2x) - \bar{f}(x)}{x} = 2 \frac{\bar{f}(2x)}{2x} - \frac{\bar{f}(x)}{x},$$

and so for every $x \in (0, \epsilon/2)$

$$\bar{f}'_+(x) < 2 \frac{\bar{f}(2x)}{2x} - \frac{\bar{f}(x)}{x}.$$

Hence, follows $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \bar{f}'_+(x) \leq \bar{f}'_+(0)$, and so $\bar{f}'_+(0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \bar{f}'_+(x)$.

DEFINITION. If U is a linear space of functions defined on a set M , for every subset N of M we denote by E_N^M the projection operator defined by $E_N^M(f) = f|_N$.

LEMMA 8. Let $M \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a set with property (D), and $I := (\inf M, \sup M)$. Let Q be an n -dimensional linear space of real-valued right-continuous functions defined on I . For $f \in Q$ assume: f is bounded on every compact subinterval of I ; f has no strong alternations of length greater n ; on each of the intervals of $I \setminus \bar{M}$ f is equal to its value at the left endpoint of the interval. Let the restriction $E_M^I(Q)$ of Q to M be an n -dimensional (oriented) T -space. Then if we define $W := \{h: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \text{there are } f \in Q, a \in I, \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \text{ such that for } x \in I \ h(x) = \int_a^x f(t) dt + \alpha\}$, $E_M^I(W)$ is an $(n+1)$ -dimensional oriented T -space on M .

Proof. (1) Suppose there is an $h \in W \setminus \{0\}$ with zeros $t_1, \dots, t_{n+1} \in M$, $t_1 < \dots < t_{n+1}$. Then there exist $f \in Q$, $a \in M$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\int_a^{t_i} f(t) dt = -\alpha \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n+1.$$

and, consequently,

$$\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} f(t) dt = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

As $E_M^I(Q)$ is a T -space on M , and M has property (D), f cannot identically vanish on (t_i, t_{i+1}) , and so f has a strong alternation of length greater 1 on (t_i, t_{i+1}) . Thus, f has a strong alternation of length greater n on M contradicting the hypothesis.

(2) Suppose there are an $h \in W$ and $t_1, \dots, t_{n+2} \in M$ with $t_1 < \dots < t_{n+2}$ and $\alpha_i := (-1)^i h(t_i) > 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n+2$. Then there exist $f \in Q$, $a \in M$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\int_a^{t_i} f(t) dt = (-1)^i \alpha_i - \alpha \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n+2,$$

and, consequently,

$$\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} f(t) dt = (-1)^{i+1} (\alpha_i + \alpha_{i+1}) \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n+1.$$

So $\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} f(t) dt$ is alternately positive and negative for $i = 1, \dots, n+1$, and f has a strong alternation of length $n+1$ contradicting the hypothesis.

LEMMA 8'. Let $M \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a set with property (D), and $I := (\inf M, \sup M)$.

For $i = 1, \dots, n$ let Q_i be i -dimensional linear spaces of real-valued right-continuous functions defined on I . For $f \in Q_i$ assume: f is bounded on every compact subinterval of I ; f has no strong alternations of length greater i ; on each of the intervals of $I \setminus \bar{M}$ f is equal to its value at the left endpoint of the interval. Let Q_1 consist of the constant functions, assume $Q_1 \subset \dots \subset Q_n$, and let $E_M^I(Q_1) \subset \dots \subset E_M^I(Q_n)$ be a (normed) chain of (oriented) T -spaces on M . If we then define $W_1 := Q_1$, $W_{i+1} := \{h: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \text{there are } f \in Q_i, a \in I, \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \text{ such that for } x \in I \ h(x) = \int_a^x f(t) dt + \alpha\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, $E_M^I(W_1) \subset \dots \subset E_M^I(W_{n+1})$ is a normed chain of oriented T -spaces on M .

THEOREM 3. *If hypothesis (A) is fulfilled and M has property (D), there is a function strongly adjoined to U_n .*

Proof. Because of statement (3) at the beginning of this section we may assume without loss of generality that the hypotheses of Lemma 5 are fulfilled.

$n = 2$. The quadratic polynomials, restricted to M , form an oriented T -space containing U_2 .

$n - 1 \Rightarrow n$. As described in Lemma 5, every $f \in U_n$ can be extended to a function \bar{f} defined on $I := (\inf M, \sup M)$ with the properties shown in Lemma 6 and 7. For $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ let $Q_i := \{\bar{f}'_+ \mid \bar{f} \in \bar{U}_n\}$. Then $E_M^I(Q_1) \subset \dots \subset E_M^I(Q_{n-1})$ is a normed chain of oriented T -spaces since we have $E_M^I(Q_i) = D_+ U_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ (see Theorem 2). By induction hypothesis there is a function $w: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ strongly adjoined to $E_M^I(Q_{n-1})$. We make the additional induction hypothesis that w is continuous on M , and extend w to a function g defined on all of I in the following way: Because of Lemmas 3 and 4 w can be continuously extended to a function \bar{w} defined on $I \cap \bar{M}$. For $t \in I \cap \bar{M}$, we set $g(t) = \bar{w}(t)$. If T is a connected subset of $I \setminus \bar{M}$, on T we set g constantly equal to its value in the left endpoint of T . g is bounded on every compact subinterval of I , and I can be split into $k \leq n - 1$ subintervals A_k such that g is alternately increasing and decreasing (not necessarily in the strict sense) on A_1, \dots, A_k . It is easy to see that then the last two statements hold for all functions in $Q_n := \text{span } Q_{n-1} \cup \{g\}$. Now we can apply Lemma 8': The absolute continuity of the functions $\bar{f} \in \bar{U}_n$ yields

$$\int_a^x \bar{f}'_+(t) dt = f(x) - f(a) \quad \text{for all } a, x \in I,$$

and we get $E_M^I(W_i) = U_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Setting $h(x) := \int_a^x g(t) dt$ for some fixed $a \in M$, $h|_M$ is a function continuous on M and strongly adjoined to U_n .

With the remarks at the beginning of paragraph 1 we can formulate our main result.

THEOREM 3'. If M is a totally ordered set with property (D) and U_n is an n -dimensional oriented T -space on M with $n \geq 2$, there is a function strongly adjoined to U_n .

The following statement is also immediate from the proof of Theorem 3 together with statements (1) and (2) at the beginning of this Section.

THEOREM 4. If M is a real open interval and $U_n \subset C(M)$ is an n -dimensional T -space there is a function $f \in C(M)$ adjoined to U_n .

REFERENCES

1. S. KARLIN AND W. J. STUDDEN, "Tchebycheff Systems: With applications in Analysis and Statistics," John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1966.
2. M. G. KREIN, The ideas of P. L. Čebyšev and A. A. Markov in the theory of limiting values of integrals and their further development, *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.* **12** (1951), 1-122.
3. P. LAASONEN, Einige Sätze über Tschebyscheffsche Funktionensysteme, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn.* **52** (1949), 3-24.
4. M. A. RUTMAN, Integral representation of functions forming a Markov-system (in Russian) *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **164** (1965), 989-992.
5. R. ZIELKE, On Transforming a Tchebyshev-system into a Markov-system. *J. Approximation Theory* **9** (1973), 357-366.